# The Kodaira dimension of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ : latest progress on a century-old problem

## Gavril Farkas Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin



# A classical problem

## Theorem

(Severi 1915) The moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of curves of genus g is unirational for  $g \leq 10$ .

• Severi used in his proof plane curves of minimal degree whose nodes are in general position. The result implies that one can write down explicitly the general curve of genus g in a family depending on free parameters.

- Severi's unirationality result predates the proof of the existence of  $\mathcal{M}_g!$
- Sernesi, Chang-Ran (1980s), Verra (2005):  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is unirational for g = 11, 12, 13, 14. For all  $g \leq 14$  Schreyer has produced efficient programs writing down the random curve  $C/\mathbb{F}_q$  of genus g.
- Chang-Ran (1987), Bruno-Verra (2005), Schreyer (2016):  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$  is rationally connected.
- Interesting news on  $\mathcal{M}_{16}$ . Discussing it would take us too far afield.

## Theorem

(Harris, Mumford, Eisenbud 1982-87)  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is of general type for  $g \geq 24$ .

## The Harris-Mumford approach

• Indirectly inspired by the work of Freitag and Tai on  $\mathcal{A}_g$ .

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \setminus \mathcal{M}_g = \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1 \cup \ldots \cup \Delta_{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor},$$

where  $\Delta_i$  are the irreducible boundary divisors in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Precisely,  $\Delta_0 := \{[C/p \sim q] : C \text{ of genus } g-1 \text{ and } p, q \in C\}^- \text{ and for } i \geq 1$  $\Delta_i := \{[C_1 \cup C_2] : C_1 \text{ of genus } i, C_2 \text{ of genus } g-i\}^-$ 

• The Hodge class:  $\lambda := [\mathbb{E}] \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ , where  $\mathbb{E} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is the Hodge bundle with fibres  $\mathbb{E}[C] = \bigwedge^g H^0(C, \omega_C)$ , for any stable curve C.

#### Theorem

(Harer, Arbarello-Cornalba) For  $g \geq 3$ , the group  $CH^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$  is freely generated by the classes  $\lambda, \delta_0, \ldots, \delta_{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor}$ .

• Via a Riemann-Roch calculation on the universal curve, Harris and Mumford computed the canonical class of the moduli space:

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} = 13\lambda - 2\delta_0 - 3\delta_1 - 2\delta_2 - \dots - 2\delta_{\lfloor \frac{g}{2} \rfloor}.$$

Since the singularities of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  do not impose adjunction conditions (Harris-Mumford),  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is of general type if and only if  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  is big.

Strategy: Find an effective divisor  $D \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  such that  $[D] = a\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} b_i \delta_i$ , with  $a, b_i \ge 0$  and its slope

$$s(D) := \frac{a}{\min_{i \ge 0} b_i} < s(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}) = \frac{13}{2}.$$

Then for  $\alpha,\beta>0$  we can write that

$$K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} = \alpha \cdot \lambda + \beta \cdot D + \{\text{non-negative combination of } \delta_i\}.$$

Since  $\lambda$  is big (its sections correspond to Siegel modular forms), it follows that  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}}$  is big, that is,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$  is of general type.

Summary:  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  of general type  $\Leftrightarrow$  there exists an effective divisor  $D \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  with  $s(D) < \frac{13}{2}$ .

• D can be chosen to be a geometric divisor, i.e. points of D can be characterized by a geometric property (existence of a linear system, a special syzygy, a Hurwitz condition ...)

• Brill-Noether Theorem: If C is a general curve of genus g, the variety

$$W^r_d(C) := \left\{ L \in \mathsf{Pic}^d(C) : h^0(C,L) \ge r+1 \right\}$$

has dimension  $\rho(g, r, d) = g - (r+1)(g - d + r)$ .

#### Theorem

(Eisenbud-Harris 1987) If 
$$\rho(g, r, d) = -1$$
, the locus  
 $\mathcal{M}_{g,d}^r := \{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_g : W_d^r(C) \neq \emptyset \}$  is a divisor in  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . The class of its closure in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,d}^r] = c \Big( (g+3)\lambda - \frac{g+1}{6}\delta_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} i(g-i)\delta_i \Big).$ 

Thus  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,d}^r) = 6 + \frac{12}{g+1} < \frac{13}{2}$  for  $g \ge 24$ . This proves the Harris-Mumford-Eisenbud theorem (at least when g+1 is composite).

• Can one construct divisors of slope  $< 6 + \frac{12}{q+1}$  (Slope Conjecture)?

#### Theorem

(Farkas-Popa 2003) If  $D \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is an effective divisor with  $s(D) < 6 + \frac{12}{g+1}$ , then

 $D \supseteq \mathcal{K}_g := \big\{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_g : C \text{ lies on a } K3 \text{ surface} \big\}.$ 

**The Strong Maximal Rank Conjecture** Fix g, r and d with  $\rho(g, r, d) \ge 0$ . Set  $\sigma : \mathcal{G}_d^r \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ , with

$$\mathcal{G}_d^r := \big\{ [C, L] : [C] \in \mathcal{M}_g \text{ and } L \in W_d^r(C) \big\}.$$

Maximal Rank Conjecture: For a general  $[C, L] \in \mathcal{G}_d^r$ , the maps

$$\phi_L^k \colon \mathsf{Sym}^k H^0(C,L) \to H^0(C,L^k)$$

given by multiplication of sections are of maximal rank for every  $k \ge 2$ . This is now a theorem of Eric Larson (2018), after a lot of previous work (Hirschowitz, Ballico-Ellia, Voisin, Jensen-Payne, Osserman ...)

• In the statement of MRC both C and L are general.

Strong Maximal Rank Conjecture (Aprodu-Farkas 2008) For a general curve C of genus g, the locus

$$\Sigma^r_d(C) := \left\{ L \in W^r_d(C) : \mathsf{Sym}^2 H^0(L) \xrightarrow{\phi_L} H^0(L^2) \text{ not of maximal rank} \right\}$$

has the expected dimension, that is,  $\rho(g, r, d) - (2d + 1 - g) + \frac{r(r+3)}{2}$ .

• If  $\rho(g, r, d) - (2d + 1 - g) + \frac{r(r+3)}{2} < 0$ , we expect  $\phi_L : \operatorname{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \to H^0(C, L^2)$  to be injective for all  $L \in W^r_d(C)$ . Note:  $h^0(C, L^2) = 2d + 1 - g$ , because  $h^1(C, L^2) = 0$ .

• For  $\rho(g, r, d) = 0$ , the MRC and the Strong MRC are equivalent (monodromy!) For  $\rho(g, r, d) > 0$  the Strong MRC is incomparably more difficult. One cannot use projective degenerations! Powerful tropical methods have led to a breakthrough on the Strong MRC, with direct applications to the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ .

#### Theorem

(Farkas-Jensen-Payne 2020) Both moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$  are of general type.





Divisors on 
$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$$
 and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$  via Strong MRC  
Fix  $r = 6$  and  $d = g + 3$ . 
$$\begin{cases} g = 22, d = 25 : C \xrightarrow{|L|} \mathbb{P}^6, \ \rho = 1\\ g = 23, d = 26 : C \xrightarrow{|L|} \mathbb{P}^6, \ \rho = 2 \end{cases}$$

The degeneracy locus of those  $[C, L] \in \mathcal{G}_{g+3}^6$  for which the map

$$\phi_L \colon \mathsf{Sym}^2 H^0(C,L) \to H^0(C,L^2)$$

is not injective has expected codimension g - 20, that is, 2 if g = 22 respectively 3 if g = 23. Consequently

$$\mathcal{D}_g := \left\{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_g : \exists L \in W^6_{g+3}(C) \text{ with } \phi_L \text{ not injective} \right\}$$

is a virtual divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_g \subseteq \mathcal{D}_g$  (sections of K3 surfaces fail Strong MRC!),  $\mathcal{D}_g$  is a prime candidates to show that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is of general type.

• Issues: (i) Transversality and (ii) compactifying  $\mathcal{D}_g$ .

# Virtual classes of MRC divisors

• We choose a partial compactification  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  differing from  $\mathcal{M}_g \cup \Delta_0 \cup \Delta_1$  only in codimension 2 and a proper extension

$$\sigma\colon \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{g+3}^6 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g,$$

from the stack of limit linear series of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{g+3}^6$ .

• We construct locally free sheaves  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{g+3}^6$  with  $\mathsf{rk}(\mathcal{E}) = 7$  and  $\mathsf{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = g + 7 (= 2d + 1 - g)$ , together with a morphism  $\phi \colon \mathsf{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}.$ 

s.t. for  $[C,L] \in \mathcal{G}_{g+3}^6$ , we have  $\mathcal{E}(C,L) = H^0(L)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(L) = H^0(L^2)$  and  $\phi_{[C,L]} \colon \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^0(C,L) \to H^0(C,L^2)$ 

is the usual multiplication of sections.

#### Definition

Define the virtual class  $[\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_g]^{\operatorname{vir}} := \sigma_* \left( c_{g-20} \left( \mathcal{F} - \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E} \right) \right) \in CH^1(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g).$ 

• If the degeneracy locus  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\phi: \operatorname{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$  has the expected codimension 2 for g = 22, respectively 3 for g = 23, then  $[\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q]^{\operatorname{vir}} = [\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_q]$ .

#### Theorem

(FJP) The virtual classes of the MRC divisors have the following slopes:

$$s([\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{22}]^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \frac{17121}{2636} = 6.495... \text{ and } s([\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_{23}]^{\mathrm{vir}}) = \frac{470749}{72725} = 6.473....$$

Conclusion: Both virtual slopes are  $<\frac{13}{2}$ ! Transversality issues:

## Theorem

(FJP) The Strong Maximal Rank Conjecture holds on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$ . For a general C, the multiplication map  $\phi_L$  is injective for every  $L \in W^6_{q+3}(C)$ .

• There is an alternative proof of this: Liu-Osserman-Teixidor-Zhang. • This shows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}) \neq \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$  (recall  $\sigma: \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{g+3}^6 \to \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ ). To conclude  $[\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_g]^{\mathrm{virt}} = [\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_g]$ , one needs to rule out the possibility of a component  $\mathcal{U}' \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  of excessive dimension, mapping via  $\sigma$  with positive dimensional fibres onto a divisor in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . (i) For the general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  the multiplication map  $\phi_L \colon \operatorname{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \to H^0(C, L^2)$  is injective for all  $L \in W^6_{g+3}(C)$ .

(ii) There exists no divisor  $\mathcal{Z}$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  such that for each  $[C] \in \mathcal{Z}$  the map  $\phi_L$  is non-injective for infinitely many  $L \in W^6_{g+3}(C)$ .



To prove (ii) it suffices to verify all of the following:

- $j_2^*(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$  and  $j_3^*(\mathcal{Z}) \subseteq \{$ Weierstrass divisor $\} \cup \{$ Hyperelliptic divisor $\}$ .
- $\Delta_{2,j} \nsubseteq \mathcal{Z}$ , for all j.

Then  $[\mathcal{Z}] = 0 \in CH^1(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ , hence  $\mathcal{Z}$  is empty.

Moral: One has to prove the Strong Maximal Rank Conjecture not only generically over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , but also over all divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

#### The proof of the Strong Maximal Conjecture

Let  $\Gamma$  be the following tropical curve of genus g (chain of loops):



- Choose  $R \subseteq K$  a DVR and  $X \to K$  a smooth curve of genus g, with a regular model  $\mathcal{X} \to R$ , such that  $\Gamma$  is the metric graph associated to this degeneration (Locally,  $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  is given by  $xy = t^{m_i}$  resp.  $xy = t^{\ell_i}$  in a neighborhood of the node corresponding to  $v_i$  resp.  $w_i$ ).
- Each point of  $\Gamma$  corresponds to a valuation of K(X). This induces a map

$$K(X)^* \ni f \mapsto \operatorname{trop}(f) \colon \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}, \ v \mapsto \operatorname{val}_v(f).$$

• Lelong:  $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{trop}(f)) = \operatorname{Trop}(\operatorname{div}(f))$ , where  $\operatorname{Trop} \colon \operatorname{Div}(X) \to \operatorname{Div}(\Gamma)$ .

## Definition

If  $D_X \in \text{Div}(X)$  and  $V^{r+1} \subseteq H^0(X, D_X)$  is a linear system, its tropicalization is  $\text{trop}(V) := \{\phi \in PL(\Gamma) \text{ with } \text{div}(\phi) + \text{Trop}(D_X) \ge 0\}.$ 

• Fact: trop  $(W^r_d(X)) \subseteq W^r_d(\Gamma)$ .

#### Theorem

(FJP) Let X/K be a smooth curve of genus g = 22, 23 whose minimal skeleton of  $X^{\text{an}}$  is  $\Gamma$ . Assume the lengths of  $\Gamma$  are general enough. Then  $\phi_L \colon \text{Sym}^2 H^0(X, L) \to H^0(X, L^2)$  is injective for all  $L \in W^6_{q+3}(X)$ .

Ingredients in the proof:

• There is a complete combinatorial description of  $W_d^r(\Gamma)$ . For each divisor  $D' \in \text{Div}(\Gamma)$  with  $r(D') \ge r$ , there exists a unique break divisor  $D \sim D'$  with  $D = (d - g) \cdot v_1 + x_1 + \cdots + x_g$ , with  $x_i \in \text{Loop}_i$ .

$$W^r_d(\Gamma) = \bigcup \big\{ \mathbb{T}(\lambda) : \lambda \colon [r+1] \times [g-d+r] \to [g] \text{ standard tableau} \big\},$$

where  $\mathbb{T}(\lambda)$  is a  $\rho$ -dimensional torus. The free coefficients of  $x_i$  are those corresponding to  $i \notin \operatorname{Im}(\lambda)$ . In particular dim  $W_d^r(\Gamma) = \rho(g, r, d)$ .

• The general point of  $\mathbb{T}(\lambda)$  corresponds to a vertex avoiding divisor D, i.e. for each i there exists a unique  $D_i \sim D$  with  $D_i \geq i \cdot v_1 + (r-i) \cdot w_q$ .

• Suppose  $L \in W_{g+3}^6(X)$  is such that  $\phi_L \colon \text{Sym}^2 H^0(X, L) \to H^0(X, L^2)$ is not injective. Choose a basis  $f_0, \ldots, f_6$  of  $H^0(X, L) = H^0(X, D_X)$ , such that  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} f_i f_j = 0$ , for  $a_{ij} \in K$ . Then for any  $v \in \Gamma$ 

$$\min_{i,j} \left\{ v(a_{ij}) + \operatorname{trop}(f_i)(v) + \operatorname{trop}(f_j)(v) \right\}$$

is attained at least twice.

#### Definition

The piecewise linear functions  $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n$  on  $\Gamma$  are tropically dependent if there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\min_i \{\psi_i + a_i\}$  is attained at least twice for each  $v \in \Gamma$ .

• Set  $\varphi_i := \operatorname{trop}(f_i)$ . To prove Strong MRC it suffices to construct a tropical independence between the 28 (explicitly known!) PL functions

 $\left\{\varphi_i+\varphi_j\right\}_{i\leq j}.$ 

This is relatively easy in the vertex avoiding case and much harder in the remaining cases...

• Recall  $\varphi_i = \operatorname{trop}(f_i) \in PL(\Gamma)$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, 6$ . In the vertex avoiding case, we can choose the  $f_i$ 's such that the  $\varphi_i$ 's are explicit functions. Precisely, the slope  $s_k(\varphi_i)$  along the k-th bridge of  $\Gamma$  is given by

$$s_k(\varphi_i) = i - (g - d + r) + |\{ \text{entries } \leq k \text{ in column } r + 1 - i \}|.$$

• One has to extend this to all divisors on  $\Gamma$  of degree g+3 and dimension 6. For g=23 the number of combinatorial types in  $W_{26}^6(\Gamma)$  equals

$$\frac{23!}{9! \cdot 8! \cdot 7!} = 350,574,610.$$

When  $D_X$  is no vertex avoiding case, we no longer have the distinguished function  $\varphi_i$ . But for small  $\rho$ , it suffices to understand the tropicalization of certain subpencils in  $H^0(X, D_X)$ . The possibilities for the tropicalization of  $H^0(X, D_X)$  are divided into cases, according to the combinatorial properties of these pencils. We then construct a tropical independence case-by-case, using a generalization of the algorithm that works for vertex avoiding divisors. • Construct a tropical independence in the vertex avoiding case for g = 22. Take a (3,7) random tableau (entry 18 is missing):

01	03	06	09	10	13	15
02	05	07	12	16	19	20
04	80	11	14	17	21	22

Depict a tropical independence  $\theta = \min_{ij} \{\varphi_i + \varphi_j + c_{ij}\}$ . The dots indicate the support of  $D' = 2D + \operatorname{div}(\theta)$ . Each of the 28 functions  $\varphi_i + \varphi_j$  achieves the minimum uniquely on the component of the complement of  $\operatorname{Supp}(D')$  labeled ij.

